

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,564	11/25/2003	Karl Barth	P03,0465	5805
	7590 02/20/2007 OIN & WAITF	EXAMINER		
SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE Patent Department			AZARIAN, SEYED H	
6600 Sears Tow 233 South Wacl	· =-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 606		2624		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/721,564	BARTH, KARL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Seyed Azarian	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILInsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutony re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS CO CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe tion. period will apply and will expire s y statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	This action is non-final thickness that the section is non-final thickness.	mal matters, prosecution as to	the merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-13 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,12 and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 6-9 and 11 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Ex	ithdrawn from considera and/or election requirer	nent.	·		
·	The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 200 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	to the drawing(s) be held correction is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	48)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/721,564

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

2. Claims 1, 2 and 12-13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gupta (WO 02/093494 A2).

Regarding claim 1, Gupta discloses a method for surface contouring of a threedimensional image of an object, comprising the steps of (see abstract, modeling a three dimensional target object, plurality of cross-sectional images and determination is made of an intersection contour);

placing a first set of planes through the three-dimensional image (page 3, summary, plurality of cross-sectional images in order to provide a representative corresponding three-dimensional models);

determining contours that are imaged in each of the planes of the first set of planes and that are associated with a surface contour of the three-dimensional image (column 4, last paragraph positioned model with the individual image planes of the volume to create a series of contours);

Application/Control Number: 10/721,564

Art Unit: 2624

and combining the contours determined in each plane of the first set of planes into a surface grid associated with the surface contour of the three-dimensional image (page 5, second paragraph the model is automatically fit to those points, the initial model is intersected with each of the image planes in the image volume. The result is a series of contours (inner and outer) in each of the cross-sectional images of the volume; framework includes a method for combining three-dimensional models with two-dimensional).

Regarding claim 2, Gupta discloses a method as claimed in claim 1 wherein the planes of the first set of planes intersect in a first straight line (column 5, lines 1-17, the initial model is intersected with each of the image planes in the image volume).

Regarding claim 12, Gupta discloses a method as claimed in claim 1 comprising acquiring the three-dimensional image with a medical technology device, as representation of a part of a living organism as said subject (page 5, first paragraph, refer to organ).

With regard to claim 13, the arguments analogous to those presented above for claims 1 and 12 are respectively applicable to claim 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,564

Art Unit: 2624

Claims 3-5, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta (WO 02/093494 A2) in view of Fenster et al (U.S. patent 5,454,371).

However regarding claim 3, Gupta does not explicitly state its corresponding "wherein that the first straight line proceeds substantially through the geometric center of the three-dimensional image. On the other hand Fenster in the same field of medical diagnostics teaches (column 17, lines 28-43, the point of rotation for three-dimensional image is constrained to be the geometric center of the initial model (display screen is identified by its associated Cartesian coordinates)).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gupta invention according to the teaching of Fenster because it generate a three dimensional image and allowing a user to manipulate a displaying of three-dimensional image, enhance imaging and better accuracy.

With regard to claims 4 and 5, the arguments analogous to those presented above for claims 1 and 3 are respectively applicable to claims 4 and 5.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6-9 and 11 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowable subject matter.

With respect to claim 6, closest prior art of (Gupta and Fenster) do not disclose or suggest, among other things, "specifying the image contents of each plane of the first set of planes in Cartesian coordinates and, for each plane, determining the contours in that plane by, applying a coordinate transformation to polar coordinates approximately with regard to the geometric center of the three-dimensional image, and thereby unwinding the contour, and determining the contour in the transformed plane". Additionally with respect to claim 9, the closest prior art of (Gupta and Fenster) also do not disclose or suggest, among other things, "placing a second set of planes through the three-dimensional image with the planes of the second set of planes intersecting in a second straight line, orienting said second straight line perpendicularly to said first straight line, determining the contours that are imaged in each of the planes of the second set of planes and that are associated with the surface contour of the threedimensional image, and together with the contours determined in each plane of the first set of planes, merging the contours determined in each plane of the second set of planes into the surface grid associated with the surface contour of the three-dimensional image".

Other prior art cited

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - (U.S. patent 5,871,018) to computer-assisted surgical method.
- (U.S. patent 7,141,812) to Appleby et al is cited for devices, method and system involving castings.

(U.S. patent 7,174,202) to Bladen et al is cited medical navigation apparatus.

(U.S. patent 6,522,777) to Paulsen et al is cited combined 3D-and 2D scanning machine-vision system and method.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seyed Azarian whose telephone number is (571) 272-7443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella, can be reached at (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information about the PAIR system, see http:// pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seyed Azarian Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2624 February 7, 2007

Segel sywaan